

New Challenges in Scheduling Theory Aussois

April 4, 2014

Optimizing Supply Process in Charitable Organizations by Genetic Algorithm

Małgorzata Sterna

Mateusz Cicheński, Mateusz Jarus, Michał Miszkiewicz, Jarosław Szymczak

Institute of Computing Science Poznań University of Technology Poznań - Poland

Scope of the Talk

- Problem definition
- MP Formulation
- Heuristic Algorithm
- Genetic Algorithm
- Computational Experiments
- Conclusions

Problem Definition

- web service for charitable organizations
- gathering charitable organizations and donors
- allowing submitting requests and registering offers for various products



- managing database for registered users
- supporting supply process with optimization algorithms

Problem Definition

products are offered by **n** depots (donors, warehouses, shops)





Problem Formulation

- selecting depots offering demanded products at the lowest prices
 - order completion
 - "easy" problem greedy solution is optimal
- determining the shortest route to pick up products from these depots
 - order delivery
 - "hard" problem reduces to the shortest Hamiltonian cycle
- order completion and delivery is strongly NP-hard as a variant of Travelling Purchaser Problem (Ramesh 1981)

Heuristic Algorithm

- two-phase heuristic approach
 - selecting depots
 - constructing a tour

Heuristic Algorithm

- selecting depots choosing depots until demand is satisfied
- ordering depots according to
 - product cost (greedy heuristic)
 - weighted priorities (priority heuristic), based on:
 - total distance to other locations
 - total cost of demanded products available at a depot
 - total cost of all demanded products various priority weights result in various list heuristics and various sets of selected depots

Heuristic Algorithm

- constructing a tour from selected depots
- Minimum Spanning Tree Heuristic (Hedl & Karp 1970)
 - constructing minimum spanning tree by Kruskal Algorithm
 - traversing the tree according to Depth First Search Strategy
 - converting DFS sequence to the Hamiltonian cycle
- MSTH is 2-approximation algorithm

Bounds

- heuristic solution determines upper bound (UB)
- Iower bound

$$LB = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \sum_{i=1}^{\tilde{n}} x_{\pi_{i},j} c_{\pi_{i},j} + T(\min_{i=1...n} \{t_{0i}\} + \min_{i=1...n} \{t_{i0}\})$$

reference bounds

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{RB} = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \sum_{i=1}^{\tilde{n}} \mathsf{x}_{\pi_i, j} \mathsf{c}_{\pi_i, j} + \mathsf{T} \left(\min_{i=1...n} \{\mathsf{t}_{0i}\} + \sum_{k=1}^{\tilde{n}-1} \mathsf{t}_{[k]} + \min_{i=1...n} \{\mathsf{t}_{i0}\} \right) \\ \mathsf{t}_{[k]} - \mathsf{k'th} \text{ distance between depots} \end{split}$$

Genetic Algorithm

- solution is a sequence of assignments:
 - number of product units ordered from a depot
 - one product can be taken from more than one depot (to determine a complete solution a tour is constructed by MST heuristic)
- initial population
 - heuristic solutions corresponding to various priorities weights
 - random solutions
- new population replaces the previous one

Genetic Algorithm – Operators

- one-point crossover and two-point crossover
 - exchanging parts of assignments product-depot
 - infeasible offspring repair procedure:
 - exceeding the product availability at a certain depot
 - taking products from another depot in offspring
 - taking products from a depot in parental solution
- mutation
 - replacing a given number of assignments (product-depot) with random assignment

Genetic Algorithm - Selection

- selecting mating population according to crossover rate
 - roulette selection
 - (according to criterion values)
 - ranking selection
 - (according to the position in ranking)
 - tournament selection
 - (the best solution from randomly chosen groups)
- mutation according to mutation rate

Genetic Algorithm – Termination Condition

- the maximum number of generations
- the maximum number of generations without improvement
- exceeding the satisfying ratio of the criterion value improvement

Computational Experiments

- random instances reflecting real world scenarios
- depots located in 48 Polish cities
- the charitable organization located in Poznań
- distances correspond to road distances (Bing Maps)
- unit transportation cost determined by government regulations



Computational Experiments

 a single order contains of 5 to 200 product types (from 1 to 10 units of each type)

- prices of products in depots are determined based on
 - basic price
 - modified by discount factor
 - generated with normal distribution [-50%, +50%]

Computational Experiments

- availability of products in depots are generated according to 3 scenarios:
 - "round robin" distribution
 - demanded units of a product are placed in depots one by one
 - all depots have to be visited (order delivery is crucial)
 - "clone" distribution
 - all demanded products are available in all depots
 - prices of products are crucial (order completion is crucial)
 - "even" distribution
 - availability in all depots is increased by one unit at the time until demand is exceeded
 - prices and distances are crucial

Time per generation



Transportation cost vs. Products cost



Criterion value vs. lower bound



Conclusions

- web service devoted for charitable institutions
 - data base of offered/donated products and submitted requests
 - optimization tool supporting realizing orders by minimizing products cost and transportation cost
- solving a variant of travelling purchaser problem by
 - heuristic list algorithm
 - genetic algorithm
- validation algorithms in computational experiments
 - solution quality close to the lower bound
 - short computational time acceptable by web service users